

VALUE THE difference

June 6, 2019

To the Board of Directors Madison Community Service District Madison, California

We have audited the financial statements of the Madison Community Service District (District) for the years ended June 30, 2014 and June 30, 2013. Professional standards require that we provide you with information about our responsibilities under generally accepted auditing standards and *Government Auditing Standards*, as well as certain information related to the planned scope and timing of our audit. Professional standards also require that we communicate to you the following information related to our audit.

#### **Significant Audit Matters**

Qualitative Aspects of Accounting Practices

Management is responsible for the selection and use of appropriate accounting policies. The significant accounting policies used by the District are described in Note 1 to the financial statements. No new accounting policies were adopted and the application of existing policies was not changed during 2014 and 2013. We noted no transactions entered into by the District during the year for which there is a lack of authoritative guidance or consensus. All significant transactions have been recognized in the financial statements in the proper period.

Accounting estimates are an integral part of the financial statements prepared by management and are based on management's knowledge and experience about past and current events and assumptions about future events. Certain accounting estimates are particularly sensitive because of their significance to the financial statements and because of the possibility that future events affecting them may differ significantly from those expected. The most sensitive estimate affecting the District's financial statements was the depreciation of capital assets. Management's estimate of the depreciation of capital assets is based on estimated useful lives of the related capital assets. We evaluated the key factors and assumptions used to develop the accounting estimate in determining that it is reasonable in relation to the financial statements taken as a whole.

The financial statement disclosures are neutral, consistent, and clear.

Difficulties Encountered in Performing the Audit

We encountered no significant difficulties in dealing with management in performing and completing our audit.

Corrected Misstatements

Professional standards require us to accumulate all known and likely misstatements identified during the audit, other than those that are clearly trivial, and communicate them to the appropriate level of management. Management has corrected all such misstatements. In addition, none of the misstatements detected as a result of audit procedures and corrected by management were material, either individually or in the aggregate, to the District's financial statements taken as a whole.

#### Disagreements with Management

For purposes of this letter, a disagreement with management is a financial accounting, reporting, or auditing matter, whether or not resolved to our satisfaction, that could be significant to the financial statements or the auditor's report. We are pleased to report that no such disagreements arose during the course of our audit.

#### Management Representations

We have requested certain representations from management that are included in the management representation letter dated June 6, 2019.

#### Management Consultations with Other Independent Accountants

In some cases, management may decide to consult with other accountants about auditing and accounting matters, similar to obtaining a "second opinion" on certain situations. If a consultation involves application of an accounting principle to the District's financial statements or a determination of the type of auditor's opinion that may be expressed on those statements, our professional standards require the consulting accountant to check with us to determine that the consultant has all the relevant facts. To our knowledge, there were no such consultations with other accountants.

#### Other Audit Findings or Issues

We generally discuss a variety of matters, including the application of accounting principles and auditing standards, with management each year prior to retention as the District's auditors. However, these discussions occurred in the normal course of our professional relationship and our responses were not a condition to our retention.

#### **Restriction on Use**

This information is intended solely for the information and use of the Board of Directors and management of the District and is not intended to be, and should not be, used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Varrinik, Trine, Day & Co. LLP Sacramento, California

June 6, 2019

# MADISON COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT

Independent Auditors' Report, Basic Financial Statements, and Other Report

For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2014 and June 30, 2013

# MADISON COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT

# FOR THE YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2014 AND JUNE 30, 2013

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Independent Auditors' Report	1
Basic Financial Statements:	
Statements of Net Position	3
Statements of Revenues, Expenses, and Changes in Fund Net Position	4
Statements of Cash Flows	5
Notes to Financial Statements	7
Other Report:	
Independent Auditors' Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	15





#### INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

To the Board of Directors Madison Community Service District Madison, California

#### **Report on the Financial Statements**

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Madison Community Service District (District) as of and for the years ended June 30, 2014 and June 30, 2013, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the District's basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents.

#### Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

#### Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions

#### **Opinion**

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the District as of June 30, 2014 and June 30, 2013, and the respective changes in financial position, and, where applicable, cash flows thereof for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

#### Other Matters

# Required Supplementary Information

Management has omitted the management's discussion and analysis that accounting principles generally accepted in the United States require to be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such missing information, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board who considers it to be an essential part of the financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. Our report on the basic financial statements is not affected by this missing information.

#### Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

Varrinek, Trine, Day & Co. LLP

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated June 6, 2019, on our consideration of the District's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the District's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

Sacramento, California

June 6, 2019

# MADISON COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT STATEMENTS OF NET POSITION FOR THE FISCAL YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2014 AND 2013

	\$ 318,191 11,791 - 329,982 42,727 1,157,834 2,579 1,203,140 1,533,122 15,884 3,642 435 10,167 30,128 174,070 204,198		2013
ASSETS			
Current Assets			
Cash and investments	\$	318,191	\$ 295,035
Accounts receivable		11,791	11,493
Due from other governments		-	 9,120
Total Current Assets		329,982	315,648
Non-current assets:			
Capital Assets:			
Non-depreciable		42,727	42,727
Depreciable, net		1,157,834	1,223,002
Restricted cash and investments		2,579	 2,571
Total Non-Current Assets		1,203,140	1,268,300
Total Assets		1,533,122	 1,583,948
LIABILITIES			
Current Liabilities:			
Accounts payable		15,884	11,741
Accrued liabilities		3,642	3,216
Interest payable		435	461
Loans payable (due within one year)		10,167	 9,177
Total Current Liabilities		30,128	 24,595
Non-Current Liabilities			
Loans payable		174,070	184,237
Total Liabilities		204,198	 208,832
NET POSITION			
Net investment in capital assets		1,016,324	1,072,315
Restricted:		, ,	, , ,
Developer Fees/CDBG Grant		2,579	2,571
Unrestricted		310,021	300,230
Total Net Position	\$	1,328,924	\$ 1,375,116

# MADISON COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENSES AND CHANGES IN FUND NET POSITION FOR THE FISCAL YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2014 AND 2013

	2014	2013
OPERATING REVENUES		
Charges for services	\$ 234,140	\$ 225,808
Other revenue	 	60
Total Operating Revenues	234,140	 225,868
OPERATING EXPENSES		
Salaries and benefits	93,936	89,615
Services and supplies	103,881	89,445
Depreciation	65,168	40,470
Other operating expenses	 13,875	10,873
Total Operating Expenses	276,860	230,403
Net Operating Income (Loss)	 (42,720)	(4,535)
NON-OPERATING REVENUES (EXPENSES)		
Interest expense	(4,930)	(248)
Use of money and property	958	820
Non-capital contributions and donations	500	
Total Non-Operating Revenues (Expenses)	(3,472)	572
Net Change in Net Position	(46,192)	(3,963)
Net Position - Beginning of Year	1,375,116	1,379,079
Net Position - End of Year	\$ 1,328,924	\$ 1,375,116

# MADISON COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS FOR THE FISCAL YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2014 AND 2013

	2014	2013		
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:				
Cash receipts from customers	\$ 242,962	\$ 216,581		
Cash paid to suppliers for goods and services	(113,213)	(100,238)		
Cash paid to employees for services	(93,936)	(89,615)		
Net Cash Provided (Used) by Operating Activities	35,813	26,728		
CASH FLOWS FROM CAPITAL FINANCING ACTIVITIES:				
Interest paid on loan	(4,930)	(249)		
Principal payments on loan	(9,177)	(6,797)		
Non-capital contribution	500			
Net Cash Provided (Used) by Capital Financing Activities	(13,607)	(7,046)		
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:				
Interest received	958	820		
Net Increase (Decrease) in Cash and Cash Equivalents	23,164	20,502		
Cash and Cash Equivalents, Beginning of Year	297,606	277,104		
Cash and Cash Equivalents, End of Year	\$ 320,770	\$ 297,606		
RECONCILIATION OF CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS:				
Cash and investments	\$ 318,191	\$ 295,035		
Restricted cash and investments	2,579	2,571		
Cash and Cash Equivalents	\$ 320,770	\$ 297,606		

# MADISON COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS FOR THE FISCAL YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2014 AND 2013

# RECONCILIATION OF OPERATING INCOME (LOSS) TO NET CASH PROVIDED BY (USED IN) OPERATING ACTIVITIES

	 2014	 2013
Operating Income (Loss)	\$ (42,720)	\$ (4,535)
Adjustments to reconcile operating income to net		
cash provided (used) by operating activities:		
Depreciation	65,168	40,470
Changes in assets and liabilities:		
(Increase) decrease in:		
Accounts receivable	(298)	(167)
Due from other governments	9,120	(9,120)
Increase (decrease) in:		
Accounts payable	4,143	7,948
Interest Payable	(26)	(7,867)
Accrued liabilities	 426	 (1)
Net Cash Provided (Used) by Operating Activities	\$ 35,813	\$ 26,728

#### NOTE 1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

#### A. Reporting Entity

The Madison Community Service District was founded June 13, 1966, under the provision of Section 61600 of the Government Code of the State of California. The Health and Safety Code and the Water Code of the State of California regulate the District's operations.

The District provides water, sewer, lighting, and park services to the community of Madison and a nearby migrant housing center in Yolo County.

A five-member board of directors who are elected at large by the voters in the District, or appointed by the County Board of Supervisors in lieu of election governs the District. Each director serves a four-year term.

#### **B.** Basis of Accounting

The District's financial statements are reported using the economic resources measurement focus and the accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recognized in the accounting period in which they are earned, and expenses are recognized in the accounting period in which they are incurred, regardless of timing of related cash flows. Grants and similar items are recognized as revenues as soon as eligibility requirements have been met.

Operating revenues include charges for water, sewer, waste disposal, and lighting services and result from exchange transactions associated with the principal activities of the District. Exchange transactions are those in which each party receives and gives up essentially equal values. Nonoperating revenues, such as grants and investment earnings, result from nonexchange transactions or ancillary activities.

# C. Implementation of Governmental Accounting Standards Board Statements

The Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) releases new accounting and financial reporting standards which may have a significant impact on the District's financial reporting process. The District became subject to the following GASB Statements for the years ended June 30, 2014 and 2013:

In December 2010, GASB issued Statement No. 61 – *The Financial Reporting Entity: Omnibus – an amendment of GASB Statement No. 14 and No. 39.* This Statement modifies certain requirements for inclusion of component units in the financial reporting entity and amends the criteria for reporting component units as if they were part of the primary government (that is, blending) in certain circumstances. The District has implemented this Statement as of July 1, 2012

In December 2010, GASB issued Statement No. 62 – Codification of Accounting and Financial Reporting Guidance Contained in Pre-November 30, 1989 FASB and AICPA Pronouncements. This statement improves financial reporting by contributing to GASB's efforts to codify all sources of generally accepted accounting principles for state and local governments so that they derive from a single source. The provisions of the Statement are effective for periods beginning after December 15, 2011. The District has implemented this Statement as of July 1, 2012.

#### NOTE 1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES, (CONTINUED)

# C. Implementation of Governmental Accounting Standards Board Statements (Continued)

In June 2011, GASB issued Statement No. 63 – Financial Reporting of Deferred Outflows of Resources, Deferred Inflows of Resources, and Net Position. The objective of this statement is to improve financial reporting by standardizing the presentation of deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources and their effect on net position. It alleviates uncertainty about reporting those financial statements elements by providing guidance where none previously existed. The District has implemented this Statement as of July 1, 2012.

In March 2012, GASB issued Statement No. 65 – *Items Previously Reported as Assets and Liabilities*. This Statement sets forth criteria to properly classify items previously reported assets and liabilities as deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources. The District has implemented this Statement as of July 1, 2012.

In March 2012, GASB issued Statement No. 66, *Technical Corrections* – 2012 – an amendment of GASB Statement No. 10 and No. 62. The objective of this Statement is to improve accounting and financial reporting for a governmental financial reporting entity by resolving conflicting guidance that resulted from the issuance of two pronouncements, Statements No. 54, *Fund Balance Reporting and Governmental Fund Type Definitions*, and No. 62, *Codification of Accounting and Financial Reporting Guidance Contained in Pre-November 30, 1989 FASB and AICPA Pronouncements*. The District has implemented this Statement as of July 1, 2012.

In June 2012, GASB issued Statement No. 67, Financial Reporting for Pension Plans – An Amendment of GASB Statement No. 25. The objective of this Statement is to improve financial reporting by state and local governmental pension plans. This Statement results from a comprehensive review of the effectiveness of existing standards of accounting and financial reporting for pensions with regard to providing decision-useful information, supporting assessments of accountability and interperiod equity, and creating additional transparency. This Statement replaces the requirements of Statements No. 25, Financial Reporting for Defined Benefit Pension Plans and Note Disclosures for Defined Contribution Plans, and No. 50, Pension Disclosures, as they relate to pension plans that are administered through trusts or equivalent arrangements that meet certain criteria. The District has determined that this Statement does not have a material impact on the financial statements.

In April 2013, GASB issued Statement No 70, Accounting and Financial Reporting for Nonexchange Financial Guarantees. The objective of this Statement is to improve accounting and financial reporting by state and local governments that extend and receive nonexchange financial guarantees. The District has determined that this Statement does not have a material impact on the financial statements.

# D. Cash

For purposes of the Statement of Cash Flows, the total of restricted and unrestricted cash comprises cash and cash equivalents. Cash equivalents are defined as all cash and investments with maturities of 90 days or less and the District's investment in the County of Yolo's cash and investments pool.

#### NOTE 1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES, (CONTINUED)

#### E. Accounts Receivable

Accounts receivable are recorded at their gross value and, where appropriate, are reduced by the portion that is considered uncollectible. Accounts receivable consists primarily of service fees that have been billed but not paid as of year-end and amounts due from other governments. Management has determined its accounts receivable to be fully collectible and, accordingly, no allowance for doubtful accounts is necessary.

# F. Capital Assets

All capital assets, including infrastructure, are capitalized by the District. The District defines capital assets as assets with an initial, individual cost of more than \$5,000 and an estimated useful life in excess of one year. Purchased capital assets are stated at cost. Donated assets are valued at their estimated fair market value. Depreciation of exhaustible capital assets is charged as an expense against operations. Capital assets of the District are reported in the balance sheet net of accumulated depreciation. Depreciation is computed using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the capital assets as follows:

Water and Sewer Lines	50 to 75 Years
Structures and improvements	5 to 50 years
Equipment and vehicles	5 to 25 years

#### G. Net Position

Net position is displayed in three components:

- Net investment in capital assets Consists of capital assets including restricted capital assets, net of
  accumulated depreciation and reduced by the outstanding balances of any bonds, mortgages, notes or
  other borrowings that are attributable to the acquisition, construction or improvement of those assets.
- Restricted net position Consists of net position with constraints placed on the use either by (1) external groups such as creditors, grantors, contributors or laws or regulations of other governments; or (2) law through constitutional provisions or enabling legislation.
- *Unrestricted net position* All other net position that do not meet the definition of "restricted" or "net investment in capital assets."

When both restricted and unrestricted resources are available for use, it is the District's policy to use restricted resources first.

#### H. Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

#### NOTE 2. CASH AND INVESTMENTS

The District's cash and investments at June 30, 2014 and 2013 consisted of the following:

	2014	2013
Cash:		
Imprest cash	\$ 300	\$ 300
Cash and Investments held with the County of Yolo:		
Unrestricted	317,891	294,735
Restricted for developer fees	 2,579	2,571
Total Cash and Investment held with the County of Yolo	320,470	297,306
Total Cash and Investments	\$ 320,770	\$ 297,606

The District holds restricted and unrestricted cash and investments with the Treasurer of the County of Yolo in a cash and investment pool. On a quarterly basis the Auditor Controller allocates interest to participants based upon their average daily balances. The Treasurer's investment and policies are overseen by the Yolo County Treasury Oversight Committee. Required disclosure information regarding the credit risk, custodial credit risk, concentration risk, and interest rate risk of investments can be found in the County of Yolo's basic financial statements.

#### A. Investments

The District's investment policy does not define criteria for selecting acceptable financial institutions, brokers/dealers, or allowable investment types as defined by Government Code 53635. Therefore, the District utilizes the Government Code 53635.

At June 30, 2014, the District had the following investments:

					Fair
	Maturities	Par	Cost	Value	
Cash and Investments held in the					
County of Yolo Treasury Pool	On Demand	\$	320,470	\$ 320,470	\$ 320,470
At June 30, 2013, the District had the follow	owing investme	ents:			
					Fair
	Maturities		Par	Cost	 Value
Cash and Investments held in the County of Yolo Treasury Pool	On Demand	\$	297,306	\$ 297,306	\$ 297,306
J J J J J J J J J J J J J J J J J J J			,	 ,	 

NOTE 3. CAPITAL ASSETS

A summary of changes in capital assets for the year ended June 30, 2014 is as follows:

	_	Balance y 1, 2013	A	Additions	Retire	ments	Balance June 30, 2014		
Capital Assets, Not Being Depreciated:			•		¢		<b>c</b>		
Land	\$	42,727	\$		\$		Э	42,727	
Total Capital Assets, Not Being Depreciated		42,727						42,727	
Capital Assets, Being Depreciated:									
Structures and improvements		1,833,429		_		-		1,833,429	
Equipment		54,812						54,812	
Total Capital Assets, Being Depreciated		1,888,241						1,888,241	
Less accumulated depreciation for:									
Structures and improvements		(621,024)		(59,887)		-		(680,911)	
Equipment		(44,215)		(5,281)				(49,496)	
Total Accumulated Depreciation		(665,239)		(65,168)				(730,407)	
Total Capital Assets, Being Depreciated, Net		1,223,002		(65,168)		-		1,157,834	
Net Capital Assets	\$ 1	1,265,729	\$	(65,168)	\$		\$	1,200,561	

A summary of changes in capital assets for the year ended June 30, 2013 is as follows:

Balance								
July 1, 2012			dditions	Retirements		June 30, 2013		
\$	42,727	\$		\$		\$	42,727	
	42,727						42,727	
1	,833,429		-		-		1,833,429	
	54,812				-		54,812	
	-							
1	,888,241		_				1,888,241	
	(585,836)		(35,188)		-		(621,024)	
	(38,934)		(5,281)		_		(44,215)	
	(624,770)		(40,469)				(665,239)	
1	,263,471		(40,469)				1,223,002	
\$ 1	,306,198	\$	(40,469)	\$	-	\$	1,265,729	
	\$ 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	\$ 42,727 42,727 1,833,429 54,812 - 1,888,241 (585,836) (38,934)	July 1, 2012 A  \$ 42,727 \$  42,727  1,833,429 54,812  1,888,241  (585,836) (38,934) (624,770) 1,263,471	July 1, 2012     Additions       \$ 42,727     \$ -       42,727     -       1,833,429     -       54,812     -       -     -       1,888,241     -       (585,836)     (35,188)       (38,934)     (5,281)       (624,770)     (40,469)       1,263,471     (40,469)	July 1, 2012       Additions       Retirer         \$ 42,727       \$ -       \$         42,727       -       -         1,833,429       -       -         54,812       -       -         -       -       -         1,888,241       -       -         (585,836)       (35,188)       (5,281)         (624,770)       (40,469)       -         1,263,471       (40,469)	July 1, 2012       Additions       Retirements         \$ 42,727       \$ -       -         42,727       -       -         1,833,429       -       -         54,812       -       -         -       -       -         1,888,241       -       -         (585,836)       (35,188)       -         (38,934)       (5,281)       -         (624,770)       (40,469)       -         1,263,471       (40,469)       -	July 1, 2012       Additions       Retirements       Jur         \$ 42,727       -       \$       -       \$         \$ 42,727       -       -       -       -         \$ 1,833,429       -       -       -       -         \$ 54,812       -       -       -       -         \$ 1,888,241       -       -       -       -       -         \$ (585,836)       (35,188)       -	

#### NOTE 4. LONG-TERM DEBT

The following is a summary of long-term liabilities transactions for the year ended June 30, 2014:

										A	mounts
	I	Balance						]	Balance	Du	e Within
	Jul	y 1, 2013	Additions		8	Deletions		June 30, 2014		O	ne Year
		<u>_</u>									
Loans payable	\$	193,414	\$		-	\$	(9,177)	\$	184,237	\$	10,167

The following is a summary of long-term liabilities transactions for the year ended June 30, 2013:

									Am	ounts
	1	Balance					]	Balance	Due	Within
	Jul	y 1, 2012	Additions Deletion		eletions	June 30, 2013		One Year		
Loans payable	\$	200,211	\$	3,083	\$	(9,880)	\$	193,414	\$	9,177

#### **Loans Payable**

In May of 2003, the District obtained Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) funding from the County of Yolo in the amount of \$30,000 to help finance a planning study of a new well for the community of Madison. Of the \$30,000, \$14,700 was granted to the District and \$15,300 was loaned to the District. The term of the loan is 15 years with payments being deferred for 3 years from the date of closing. Simple interest accrues at 3 percent per annum and both principal and interest payments are due monthly through the maturity of the loan.

As of June 30, 2014 and 2013, annual debt service requirements to maturity are as follows:

Year Ended	Loan Payable					Year Ended		ole		
June 30, 2013	Principal Inte		Interest		June 30, 2014	Principal		Interest		
2014	\$	1,065	\$	454	-	2015	\$	1,098	\$	422
2015		1,098		422		2016		1,131		389
2016		1,131		389		2017		1,166		354
2017		1,166		354		2018		11,174		319
2018		11,174		319						
Total	\$	15,634	\$	1,938		Total	\$	14,569	\$	1,484

In August 2003, the District obtained CDBG funding from the County of Yolo in the amount of \$70,100 to purchase a 4-stage turbine pump and motor. Of the \$70,100, \$34,349 was granted to the District and \$35,751 was loaned to the District. The equipment was also used as collateral to secure the loan. The terms of the loan is 12 years with payments being deferred for three years from the date of closing. Simple interest accrues at 3 percent per annum and both principal and interest payments are due monthly through the maturity of the loan.

#### NOTE 4. LONG-TERM DEBT, (CONTINUED)

As of June 30, 2014 and 2013, annual debt service requirements to maturity are as follows:

Year Ended		Loan F	Payat	ole		Year Ended	Loan Payable				
June 30, 2013	Principal		Interest		_	June 30, 2014		Principal		nterest	
2014	\$	2,498	\$	1,053	_	2015	\$	2,574	\$	977	
2015		2,574		977		2016		2,652		899	
2016		2,652		899		2017		2,733		818	
2017		2,733		818		2018		2,816		735	
2018		2,816		735		2019		22,974		114	
2019		22,974		114							
Total	\$	36,247	\$	4,596		Total	\$	33,749	\$	3,543	

In November 2007, the District obtained CDBG funding from the County of Yolo in the amount of \$75,000 for Waste Water Treatment Improvements. The term of the loan is 30 years with payments being deferred for five years from the date of closing. Simple interest accrues at 3% per annum and both principal and interest payments are due monthly through the maturity of the loan.

As of June 30, 2014 and 2013, annual debt service requirements to maturity are as follows:

Year Ended	Loan Payable					Year Ended		Loan F	ayable	
June 30, 2013	P	Principal In		Interest		June 30, 2014	Principal		Interest	
2014	\$	1,398	\$	1,447	<u>-</u>	2015	\$	2,151	\$	2,117
2015		2,151		2,117		2016		2,216		2,052
2016		2,216		2,052		2017		2,283		1,984
2017		2,283		1,984		2018		2,353		1,915
2018		2,353		1,915		2019		2,425		1,843
2019-2023		12,883		8,457		2020-2024		13,275		8,065
2024-2028		14,965		6,375		2025-2029		15,420		5,920
2029-2033		17,383		3,956		2030-2034		17,912		3,428
2034-2038		17,322		1,172		2035-2038		13,521		704
Total	\$	72,954	\$	29,475		Total	\$	71,556	\$	28,028

In October 2011, the District obtained CDBG funding from the County of Yolo in the amount of \$75,000 for a sewage pump replacement project. The term of the loan is 15 years with payments beginning December 1, 2011. Simple interest accrues at 3 percent per annum and both principal and interest payments are due monthly through the maturity of the loan.

NOTE 4. LONG-TERM DEBT, (CONTINUED)

As of June 30, 2014 and 2013, annual debt service requirements to maturity is as follows:

Year Ended		Loan F	ayal	ole		Year Ended		Loan Payable			
June 30, 2013	Principal		Interest		_	June 30, 2014		Principal		Interest	
2014	\$	4,216	\$	2,000	_	2015	\$	4,344	\$	1,871	
2015		4,344		1,871		2016		4,476		1,739	
2016		4,476		1,739		2017		4,612		1,603	
2017		4,612		1,603		2018		4,752		1,463	
2018		4,752		1,463		2019		4,897		1,318	
2019-2023		26,020		5,057		2020-2024		26,811		4,265	
2024-2027		20,159		1,076		2025-2027		14,471		549	
Total	\$	68,579	\$	14,809		Total	\$	64,363	\$	12,808	

As of June 30, 2014 and 2013, the combined annual debt service requirements to maturity for all loans payable is the following:

Year Ended		Loan I	Paya	ble		Year Ended	Loan Payable			ble
June 30, 2013	I	Principal Interest		_	June 30, 2014	I	Principal		Interest	
2014	\$	9,177	\$	4,954	· ·	2015	\$	10,167	\$	5,387
2015		10,167		5,387		2016		10,475		5,079
2016		10,475		5,079		2017		10,794		4,759
2017		10,794		4,759		2018		21,095		4,432
2018		21,095		4,432		2019		30,296		3,275
2019-2023		61,877		13,628		2020-2024		40,086		12,330
2024-2028		35,124		7,451		2025-2029		29,891		6,469
2029-2033		17,383		3,956		2030-2034		17,912		3,428
2034-2038		17,322		1,172		2035-2038		13,521		704
Total	\$	193,414	\$	50,818		Total	\$	184,237	\$	45,863

#### NOTE 5. RISK MANAGEMENT

The District is exposed to various risks of loss related to theft, damage and/or destruction of assets, errors and omissions and general liability. The District participates in the Yolo County Public Agency Risk Management Insurance Authority (YCPARMIA), a joint powers agency (risk sharing pool). Fourteen local agencies participate in the pool. Each participating agency makes a cash payment for each year of participation in an amount approved by the Authority's Board of Directors. New members must remain a part the fund for a minimum of three years and give six months written notice before withdrawing from the pool. Participation by the agencies is authorized pursuant to California Government Code section 6500. The District is covered for general liability and automobile liability, workers' compensation, property damage, and fidelity through YCPARMIA. Through YCPARMIA membership in the California Joint Powers Insurance Authority (CALJPIA), the County is provided with an excess coverage fund for catastrophic liability losses. Loss contingency reserves established by YCPARMIA are funded by contributions from member agencies.





# INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

To the Board of Directors Madison Community Service District Madison, California

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the Madison Community Service District (District) as of and for the years ended June 30, 2014 and June 30, 2013, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the District's basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated June 6, 2019

#### **Internal Control over Financial Reporting**

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the District's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

#### **Compliance and Other Matters**

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the District's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

# **Purpose of this Report**

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Varrinik, Trine, Day & Co. LLP Sacramento, California

June 6, 2019